

The Lie algebra perturbation Lemma

J. Huebschmann

USTL, UFR de Mathématiques
CNRS-UMR 8524
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cédex, France
Johannes.Huebschmann@math.univ-lille1.fr

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We shall establish the following.

Theorem. Let $(M \xrightleftharpoons[\pi]{\nabla} \mathfrak{g}, h)$ be a *contraction* of chain complexes and suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with a bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ turning it into differential graded Lie algebra. Then the given contraction and the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ determine an *sh-Lie algebra* structure on M , that is, a coalgebra perturbation $\mathcal{D}$ of the coalgebra differential d^0 on (the cofree coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra) $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ (on the suspension sM of M), the coalgebra differential d^0 being induced by the differential on M , a Lie algebra twisting cochain $\tau: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^c[sM] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and, furthermore, a contraction

$$\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^c[sM] \xrightleftharpoons[\Pi]{\bar{\tau}} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}, H] \right)$$

of chain complexes which are natural in terms of the data.

Here $\mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ refers to the classifying coalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to establish the *Perturbation Lemma* for differential graded Lie algebras. The main technique is H(omological)P(erturbation)T(heory).

A special case of the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma has been explored in [19] (Theorem 2.7), and the details of the proof of that Theorem have been promised to be given elsewhere; the present paper includes these details. The main application of the quoted Theorem in [19] was the construction of solutions of the *master equation* under suitable general circumstances, and the present paper in particular yields solutions of the master equation under circumstances even more general than those in [19]. In the present paper, we will not elaborate on the master equation, though; suffice it to mention that the master equation amounts to the defining equation of a *Lie algebra twisting cochain* which,

in turn, will be reproduced as (2.10) below. Detailed comments related with the master equation may be found in [19].

The ordinary perturbation Lemma for chain complexes (reproduced below as Lemma 5.1) has become a standard tool to handle higher homotopies in a constructive manner. This Lemma is somehow lurking behind the formulas (1) in Ch. II §1 of [24], seems to have first been made explicit by M. Barratt (unpublished) and, to our knowledge, appeared first in print in [1]. Thereafter it has been exploited at various places in the literature, cf. among others [5]–[19]. The basic reason why HPT works is the old observation that an exact sequence of chain complexes which splits as an exact sequence of graded modules and which has a contractible quotient necessarily splits in the category of chain complexes [3] (2.18).

Some more historical comments about HPT may be found e. g. in [17] and in Section 1 (p. 248) and Section 2 (p. 261) of [18], which has one of the strongest results in relation to *compatibility with other such as algebra or coalgebra structure*, since it was perhaps first recognized in [9]. Suitable HPT constructions that are compatible with other algebraic structure enabled us to carry out complete numerical calculations in group cohomology [10], [11], [12] which cannot be done by other methods.

In view of the result of Kontsevich's that the Hochschild complex of the algebra of smooth functions on a smooth manifold, endowed with the Gerstenhaber bracket, is formal as a differential graded Lie algebra [20], sh-Lie algebras have become a fashionable topic. The attempt to treat the corresponding higher homotopies by means of a suitable version of HPT, relative to the *requisite additional algebraic structure*, that is, to make the perturbations *compatible with Lie brackets or more generally with sh-Lie structures*, led to the paper [19], but technical complications arise since the *tensor trick*, which was successfully exploited in [7] and [18], breaks down for cocommutative coalgebras; indeed, the notion of *homotopy of morphisms of cocommutative coalgebras is a subtle concept* [23], and only a special case was handled in [19], with some of the technical details merely sketched. The present paper provides *all* the necessary details and handles the case of a *general contraction* whereas in [19] only the case of a contraction of a differential graded Lie algebra onto its *homology* was treated.

In a subsequent paper [16] we have extended the perturbation Lemma to the more general situation of sh-Lie algebras.

I am much indebted to Jim Stasheff for having prodded me on various occasions to pin down the perturbation Lemma for Lie algebras as well as for a number of comments on a draft of the paper, and to J. Grabowski and P. Urbanski for discussions about the symmetric coalgebra.

2 The Lie algebra perturbation Lemma

To spell out the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma, and to illuminate the unexplained terms in the introduction, we need some preparation.

The ground ring is a commutative ring with 1 and will be denoted by R . Perhaps some condition has to be imposed upon R but R is not necessarily a field. In Section 3 below we will make this precise. We will take *chain complex* to mean *differential graded*

R-module. A chain complex will not necessarily be concentrated in non-negative or non-positive degrees. The differential of a chain complex will always be supposed to be of degree -1 . For a filtered chain complex X , a *perturbation* of the differential d of X is a (homogeneous) morphism ∂ of the same degree as d such that ∂ lowers the filtration and $(d + \partial)^2 = 0$ or, equivalently,

$$[d, \partial] + \partial\partial = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Thus, when ∂ is a perturbation on X , the sum $d + \partial$, referred to as the *perturbed differential*, endows X with a new differential. When X has a graded coalgebra structure such that (X, d) is a differential graded coalgebra, and when the *perturbed differential* $d + \partial$ is compatible with the graded coalgebra structure, we refer to ∂ as a *coalgebra perturbation*; the notion of *algebra perturbation* is defined similarly. Given a differential graded coalgebra C and a coalgebra perturbation ∂ of the differential d on C , we will occasionally denote the new or *perturbed* differential graded coalgebra by C_∂ .

A *contraction*

$$(N \xrightleftharpoons[\pi]{\nabla} M, h) \quad (2.2)$$

of chain complexes [4] consists of

- chain complexes N and M ,
- chain maps $\pi: N \rightarrow M$ and $\nabla: M \rightarrow N$,
- a morphism $h: N \rightarrow N$ of the underlying graded modules of degree 1;

these data are required to satisfy

$$\pi\nabla = \text{Id}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$Dh = \text{Id} - \nabla\pi, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\pi h = 0, \quad h\nabla = 0, \quad hh = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

The requirements (2.5) are referred to as *annihilation properties* or *side conditions*.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be (at first) a chain complex, the differential being written as $d: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, and let

$$(M \xrightleftharpoons[\pi]{\nabla} \mathfrak{g}, h) \quad (2.6)$$

be a *contraction* of chain complexes; later we will take $\mathfrak{g}$ to be a differential graded Lie algebra. In the special case where the differential on M is zero, M plainly amounts to the homology $H(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$; in this case, with the notation $\mathcal{H} = \nabla H(\mathfrak{g})$, the resulting decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} = d\mathfrak{g} \oplus \ker(h) = d\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathcal{H} \oplus h\mathfrak{g}$$

may be viewed as a generalization of the familiar *Hodge* decomposition.

Let C be a *coaugmented* differential graded coalgebra with coaugmentation map $\eta: R \rightarrow C$ and *coaugmentation* coideal $JC = \text{coker}(\eta)$, the diagonal map being written as $\Delta: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ as usual. Recall that the counit $\varepsilon: C \rightarrow R$ and the coaugmentation map determine a direct sum decomposition $C = R \oplus JC$. The *coaugmentation* filtration $\{F_n C\}_{n \geq 0}$ is as usual given by

$$F_n C = \ker(C \longrightarrow (JC)^{\otimes(n+1)}) \quad (n \geq 0)$$

where the unlabelled arrow is induced by some iterate of the diagonal Δ of C . This filtration is well known to turn C into a *filtered* coaugmented differential graded coalgebra; thus, in particular, $F_0 C = R$. We recall that C is said to be *cocomplete* when $C = \cup F_n C$.

Write s for the *suspension* operator as usual and accordingly s^{-1} for the *desuspension* operator. Thus, given the chain complex X , $(sX)_j = X_{j-1}$, etc., and the differential $d: sX \rightarrow sX$ on the suspended object sX is defined in the standard manner so that $ds + sd = 0$. Let $\mathcal{S}^c = \mathcal{S}^c[sM]$, the *cofree* coaugmented differential graded *cocommutative* coalgebra or, equivalently, differential graded *symmetric* coalgebra, on the suspension sM of M . This kind of coalgebra is well known to be cocomplete; the existence of its diagonal map may require some mild assumptions which we will comment upon in Section 3 below—requiring M to be projective as an R -module or requiring R to contain the field of rational numbers as a subring will certainly suffice. Further, let $d^0: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c$ denote the coalgebra differential on $\mathcal{S}^c = \mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ induced by the differential on M . For $b \geq 0$, we will henceforth denote the homogeneous degree b component of $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ by $\mathcal{S}_b^c$; thus, as a chain complex, $F_b \mathcal{S}^c = R \oplus \mathcal{S}_1^c \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{S}_b^c$. Likewise, as a chain complex, $\mathcal{S}^c = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_j^c$. We denote by

$$\tau_M: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow M$$

the composite of the canonical projection $\text{proj}: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow sM$ from $\mathcal{S}^c = \mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ to its homogeneous degree 1 constituent sM with the desuspension map s^{-1} from sM to M .

Given two chain complexes X and Y , recall that $\text{Hom}(X, Y)$ inherits the structure of a chain complex by the operator D defined by

$$D\phi = d\phi - (-1)^{|\phi|}\phi d \quad (2.7)$$

where ϕ is a homogeneous homomorphism from X to Y and where $|\phi|$ refers to the degree of ϕ .

Consider the cofree coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$ on the suspension $s\mathfrak{g}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ (the existence of which we suppose) and, as before, let

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

be the composite of the canonical projection to $\mathcal{S}_1^c[s\mathfrak{g}] = s\mathfrak{g}$ with the desuspension map. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is endowed with a graded skew-symmetric bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ that is compatible with the differential but not necessarily a graded Lie bracket, i. e. does not necessarily satisfy the graded Jacobi identity. Let C be a coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra. Given homogeneous morphisms $a, b: C \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, with a slight abuse of the bracket notation $[\cdot, \cdot]$, the *cup bracket* $[a, b]$ is given by the composite

$$C \xrightarrow{\Delta} C \otimes C \xrightarrow{a \otimes b} \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{[\cdot, \cdot]} \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.8)$$

The cup bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is well known to be a graded skew-symmetric bracket on $\text{Hom}(C, \mathfrak{g})$ which is compatible with the differential on $\text{Hom}(C, \mathfrak{g})$. Define the coderivation

$$\partial: \mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$$

on $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$ by the requirement

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{g}} \partial = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}, \tau_{\mathfrak{g}}]: \mathcal{S}_2^c[s\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}. \quad (2.9)$$

Then $D\partial (= d\partial + \partial d) = 0$ since the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ is supposed to be compatible with the differential d . Moreover, *the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies the graded Jacobi identity if and only if $\partial\partial = 0$, that is, if and only if ∂ is a coalgebra perturbation of the differential d on $\mathcal{S}^c[\mathfrak{sg}]$* , cf. e. g. [19]. The Lie algebra perturbation Lemma below will generalize this observation.

We now suppose that the graded bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ turns $\mathfrak{g}$ into a differential graded Lie algebra and continue to denote the resulting coalgebra perturbation by ∂ , so that $\mathcal{S}_\partial^c[\mathfrak{sg}]$ is a coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra; in fact, $\mathcal{S}_\partial^c[\mathfrak{sg}]$ is then precisely the ordinary C(ARTAN-)C(HEVALLEY-)E(ILENBERG) or *classifying* coalgebra for $\mathfrak{g}$ and, following [22] (p. 291), we denote it by $\mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ (but the construction given above is different from that in [22] which, in turn, is carried out only over a field of characteristic zero). Furthermore, given a coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra C , the cup bracket turns $\text{Hom}(C, \mathfrak{g})$ into a differential graded Lie algebra. In particular, $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{Hom}(F_n \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ ($n \geq 0$) acquire differential graded Lie algebra structures.

Given a coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra C and a differential graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$, a *Lie algebra twisting cochain* $t: C \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ is a homogeneous morphism of degree -1 whose composite with the coaugmentation map is zero and which satisfies

$$Dt = \frac{1}{2}[t, t], \quad (2.10)$$

cf. [21], [22]. In particular, relative to the graded Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra twisting cochain, the C(ARTAN-)C(HEVALLEY-)E(ILENBERG) or *universal* Lie algebra twisting cochain for $\mathfrak{g}$. It is, perhaps, worth noting that, when $\mathfrak{g}$ is viewed as an abelian differential graded Lie algebra relative to the zero bracket, $\mathcal{S}^c[\mathfrak{sg}]$ is the corresponding CCE or *classifying* coalgebra and $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}}: \mathcal{S}^c[\mathfrak{sg}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is still the universal Lie algebra twisting cochain. Likewise, when M is viewed as an *abelian* differential graded Lie algebra, $\mathcal{S}^c = \mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ may be viewed as the CCE or *classifying* coalgebra $\mathcal{C}[M]$ for M , and $\tau_M: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow M$ is then the universal differential graded Lie algebra twisting cochain for M .

At the risk of making a mountain out of a molehill, we note that, in (2.9) and (2.10) above, the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is a mere matter of convenience. The correct way of phrasing graded Lie algebras when the prime 2 is not invertible in the ground ring is in terms of an additional operation, the *squaring* operation $\text{Sq}: \mathfrak{g}_{\text{odd}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\text{even}}$ and, by means of this operation, the factor $\frac{1}{2}$ can be avoided. Indeed, in terms of this operation, the equation (2.10) takes the form

$$Dt = \text{Sq}(t).$$

For intelligibility, we will follow the standard convention, avoid spelling out the squaring operation explicitly, and keep the factor $\frac{1}{2}$. A detailed description of the requisite modifications when the prime 2 is not invertible in the ground is given in [16].

Given a chain complex $\mathfrak{h}$, an *sh-Lie algebra structure* or L_∞ -*structure* on $\mathfrak{h}$ is a *coalgebra perturbation* ∂ of the differential d on the coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{h}]$ on $s\mathfrak{h}$, cf. [19] (Def. 2.6). Given two sh-Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \partial_1)$ and $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \partial_2)$, an *sh-morphism* or *sh-Lie map* from $(\mathfrak{h}_1, \partial_1)$ to $(\mathfrak{h}_2, \partial_2)$ is a morphism $\mathcal{S}_{\partial_1}^c[s\mathfrak{h}_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\partial_2}^c[s\mathfrak{h}_2]$ of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras, cf. [19].

Theorem 2.1 (Lie algebra perturbation Lemma). *Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ carries a differential graded Lie algebra structure. Then the contraction (2.6) and the graded Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ determine an sh-Lie algebra structure on M , that is, a coalgebra perturbation $\mathcal{D}$ of the coalgebra differential d^0 on $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$, a Lie algebra twisting cochain*

$$\tau: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^c[sM] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad (2.11)$$

and, furthermore, a contraction

$$\left(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^c[sM] \xrightleftharpoons[\Pi]{\bar{\tau}} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}], H \right) \quad (2.12)$$

of chain complexes which are natural in terms of the data so that

$$\pi\tau = \tau_M: \mathcal{S}^c[sM] \longrightarrow M, \quad (2.13)$$

$$h\tau = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

and so that, since by construction, the injection $\bar{\tau}: \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^c[sM] \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ of the contraction is the adjoint $\bar{\tau}$ of τ , this injection is then a morphism of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras.

In the statement of this theorem, the perturbation $\mathcal{D}$ then encapsulates the asserted sh-Lie structure on M , and the adjoint $\bar{\tau}$ of (2.11) is plainly an sh-equivalence in the sense that it induces an isomorphism on homology, including the brackets of all order that are induced on homology.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 to be given below includes, in particular, a proof of Theorem 2.7 in [19]; in fact, the statement of that theorem is the special case of Theorem 2.1 where the differential on M is zero, and the details of the proof of that theorem had been promised to be given elsewhere.

Theorem 2.1 asserts not only the existence of the Lie algebra twisting cochain (2.11) and contraction (2.12) but also includes explicit natural constructions for them, under the additional assumption that the prime 2 be invertible in the ground ring. The necessary modifications for the general case where the prime 2 is not necessarily invertible in the ground ring are explained in [16]. The explicit constructions for the coalgebra perturbation $\mathcal{D}$ and Lie algebra twisting cochain (2.11) will be spelled out in Complement I below, and explicit constructions of the remaining constituents of the contraction (2.12) will be given in Complement II. As a notational *road map* for the reader, we note at this stage that Complement II involves an application of the ordinary perturbation Lemma which will here yield, as an intermediate step, yet another contraction of chain complexes, of the kind

$$\left(\mathcal{S}_{\delta}^c[sM] \xrightleftharpoons[\tilde{\Pi}]{\tilde{\nabla}} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}], \tilde{H} \right),$$

to be given as (2.22) below. In particular, δ is yet another perturbation on $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ which we distinguish in notation from the perturbation $\mathcal{D}$; apart from trivial cases, the perturbation δ is *not* compatible with the coalgebra structure on $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$, though, and the injection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and homotopy $\tilde{H}$ differ from the ultimate injection $\bar{\tau}$ and homotopy H .

Complement I. The operator $\mathcal{D}$ and twisting cochain τ are obtained as infinite series by the following recursive procedure:

$$\tau^1 = \nabla_{\tau_M} : \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\tau^j = \frac{1}{2}h([\tau^1, \tau^{j-1}] + \dots + [\tau^{j-1}, \tau^1]) : \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad j \geq 2, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\tau = \tau^1 + \tau^2 + \dots : \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + \dots : \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c \quad (2.18)$$

where, for $j \geq 1$, $\mathcal{D}^j$ is the coderivation of $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ determined by the identity

$$\tau_M \mathcal{D}^j = \frac{1}{2}\pi([\tau^1, \tau^j] + \dots + [\tau^j, \tau^1]) : \mathcal{S}_{j+1}^c \rightarrow M. \quad (2.19)$$

In particular, for $j \geq 1$, the coderivation $\mathcal{D}^j$ is zero on $F_j \mathcal{S}^c$ and lowers coaugmentation filtration by j .

The sums (2.17) and (2.18) are in general infinite. However, applied to a specific element which, since $\mathcal{S}^c$ is cocomplete, necessarily lies in some finite filtration degree subspace, since the operators $\mathcal{D}^j$ ($j \geq 1$) lower coaugmentation filtration by j , only finitely many terms will be non-zero, whence the convergence is naive.

In the special case where the original contraction (2.6) is the trivial contraction of the kind

$$(\mathfrak{g} \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Id}]{\text{Id}} \mathfrak{g}, 0), \quad (2.20)$$

M and $\mathfrak{g}$ coincide as chain complexes, the perturbation $\mathcal{D}$ coincides with the perturbation ∂ determined by the graded Lie bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}}^c[sM]$ coincides with the ordinary CCE or *classifying* coalgebra $\mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ for $\mathfrak{g}$; the Lie algebra twisting cochain τ then comes down to the CCE or *universal* Lie algebra twisting cochain $\tau_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathfrak{g}$ and in fact coincides with τ_1 (in the present special case) and, furthermore, the new contraction (2.12) then amounts to the trivial contraction

$$(\mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \xrightleftharpoons[\text{Id}]{\text{Id}} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}], 0).$$

In fact, in this case, the higher terms τ^j and $\mathcal{D}^j$ ($j \geq 2$) are obviously zero, and the operator $\mathcal{D}^1$ manifestly coincides with the CCE-operator. Likewise, in the special case where the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ is trivial or, more generally, when M carries a graded Lie bracket in such a way that ∇ is a morphism of differential graded Lie algebras, the construction plainly stops after the first step, and $\tau = \tau^1$.

Complement II. Application of the ordinary perturbation Lemma (reproduced below as Lemma 5.1) to the perturbation ∂ on $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$ determined by the graded Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ and the induced filtered contraction

$$\left(\mathcal{S}^c[sM] \xrightleftharpoons[\mathcal{S}^c[s\pi]]{\mathcal{S}^c[s\nabla]} \mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}], \mathcal{S}^c[sh] \right) \quad (2.21)$$

of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras, the filtrations being the ordinary coaugmentation filtrations, yields the perturbation δ of the differential d^0 on $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ and the

contraction

$$\left(\mathcal{S}_\delta^c[sM] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\tilde{\nabla}} \\ \xleftarrow{\tilde{\Pi}} \end{array} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}], \tilde{H} \right) \quad (2.22)$$

of chain complexes. Furthermore, the composite

$$\Phi: \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{D}^c[sM] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\tau}} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Pi}} \mathcal{S}_\delta^c[sM] \quad (2.23)$$

is an isomorphism of chain complexes, and the morphisms

$$\Pi = \Phi^{-1}\tilde{\Pi}: \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{D}^c[sM], \quad (2.24)$$

$$H = \tilde{H} - \tilde{H}\tilde{\tau}\Pi: \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \quad (2.25)$$

complete the construction of the contraction (2.12).

In general, none of the morphisms δ , $\tilde{\nabla}$, $\tilde{\Pi}$, Π , $\tilde{H}$, H is compatible with the coalgebra structures. The isomorphism Φ admits an explicit description in terms of the data as a *perturbation of the identity* and so does its inverse; details will be given in Section 5 below.

3 Some additional technical prerequisites

Let Y be a chain complex. The *cofree* coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra or *graded symmetric* coalgebra $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ on the chain complex Y is characterized by a universal property as usual. To guarantee the existence of a diagonal map for $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$, some hypothesis is necessary, though: The ordinary tensor coalgebra $T^c[Y]$, that is, the cofree (coaugmented) coalgebra on Y , decomposes as the direct sum

$$T^c[Y] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} T_j^c[Y]$$

of its homogeneous constituents $T_j^c[Y] = Y^{\otimes j}$ ($j \geq 0$). For $j \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{S}_j^c[Y] \subseteq T_j^c[Y]$ be the submodule of invariants in the j 'th tensor power $T_j^c[Y]$ relative to the obvious action on $T_j^c[Y]$ of the symmetric group S_j on j letters, and let $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ be the direct sum

$$\mathcal{S}^c[Y] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_j^c[Y]$$

of chain complexes. So far, the construction is completely general, even functorial, and works over any ground ring. In particular, a chain map $\phi: Y_1 \rightarrow Y_2$ induces a chain map

$$\mathcal{S}^c[\phi]: \mathcal{S}^c[Y_1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^c[Y_2].$$

However, some hypothesis is, in general, necessary in order for the homogeneous constituents

$$T_{j+k}^c[Y] \longrightarrow T_j^c[Y] \otimes T_k^c[Y] \quad (j, k \geq 0)$$

of the diagonal map $\Delta: T^c[Y] \rightarrow T^c[Y] \otimes T^c[Y]$ of the graded tensor coalgebra $T^c[Y]$ to induce a graded diagonal map on $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$. (I am indebted to P. Urbanski for having prodded me to clarify this point.) Indeed, the diagonal map for Y induces a morphism

from $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ to $\mathcal{S}^c[Y \oplus Y]$ and the diagonal map for $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ is well defined whenever the canonical morphism

$$\bigoplus_{j_1+j_2=k} \mathcal{S}_{j_1}^c[Y] \otimes \mathcal{S}_{j_2}^c[Y] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_k^c[Y \oplus Y] \quad (3.1)$$

is an isomorphism for every $k \geq 1$.

To explain the basic difficulty, let $k \geq 1$, let Y_1 and Y_2 be two graded R -modules, and consider the k 'th homogeneous constituent

$$\mathcal{S}_k^c[Y_1 \oplus Y_2] = \left((Y_1 \oplus Y_2)^{\otimes k} \right)^{S_k} \subseteq (Y_1 \oplus Y_2)^{\otimes k}$$

of $\mathcal{S}^c[Y_1 \oplus Y_2]$. For $0 \leq j \leq k$, let

$$\mathcal{S}_{\binom{k}{j}}[Y_1 \oplus Y_2] = Y_1^{\otimes j} \otimes Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)} \oplus Y_1^{\otimes(j-1)} \otimes Y_2 \otimes Y_1 \otimes Y_2^{\otimes(k-j-1)} \oplus \dots \oplus Y_1^{\otimes(k-j)} \otimes Y_2^{\otimes j},$$

the direct sum of $\binom{k}{j}$ summands which arises by substituting in the possible choices of j objects out of k objects a tensor factor of Y_1 for each object and filling in the ‘‘holes’’ remaining between the various tensor powers of Y_1 by the appropriate tensor powers of Y_2 . Let RS_k denote the group ring of S_k . For $0 \leq j \leq k$, relative to the S_j - and S_{k-j} -actions on $Y_1^{\otimes j}$ and $Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)}$, respectively, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\left(Y_1^{\otimes j} \otimes Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)} \right) \otimes_{S_j \times S_{k-j}} RS_k \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\binom{k}{j}}[Y_1 \oplus Y_2]$$

of S_k -modules. Consequently, for $0 \leq j \leq k$, the canonical injection

$$\left(Y_1^{\otimes j} \otimes Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)} \right)^{S_j \times S_{k-j}} \longrightarrow \left(\mathcal{S}_{\binom{k}{j}}[Y_1 \oplus Y_2] \right)^{S_k}$$

is an isomorphism. As an S_k -module, $(Y_1 \oplus Y_2)^{\otimes k}$ is well known to decompose as the direct sum

$$(Y_1 \oplus Y_2)^{\otimes k} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \mathcal{S}_{\binom{k}{j}}[Y_1 \oplus Y_2]$$

whence $\mathcal{S}_k^c[Y_1 \oplus Y_2]$ decomposes as the direct sum

$$\mathcal{S}_k^c[Y_1 \oplus Y_2] = \bigoplus_{j=0}^k \left(Y_1^{\otimes j} \otimes Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)} \right)^{S_j \times S_{k-j}}.$$

However, some hypothesis is needed in order for the canonical morphisms

$$\mathcal{S}_j^c[Y_1] \otimes \mathcal{S}_{k-j}^c[Y_2] = (Y_1^{\otimes j})^{S_j} \otimes (Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)})^{S_{k-j}} \longrightarrow (Y_1^{\otimes j} \otimes Y_2^{\otimes(k-j)})^{S_j \times S_{k-j}}$$

of graded R -modules to be isomorphisms for $1 \leq j \leq k-1$.

To explain an important special case where the cofree graded cocommutative coalgebra exists we recall that, when $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ exists, the addition map of Y induces a multiplication map for $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ turning $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ into a differential graded Hopf algebra. In particular, when Y is free and concentrated in even degrees, $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ amounts to the familiar *divided powers Hopf algebra* $\Gamma[Y]$ on a basis of Y , cf. [2]; the underlying graded R -module is then free as

well, that is, $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ is then free as a graded R -module. This kind of construction extends to graded projective modules, that is, $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ exists as a coaugmented graded cocommutative coalgebra whenever Y , concentrated in even degrees, is projective as a graded R -module, and each homogeneous constituent $\mathcal{S}_k^c[Y]$ ($k \geq 1$) is then projective as well. Given a general graded module Y which is projective as a graded R -module, denote the even and odd constituents by Y_{ev} and Y_{odd} , respectively; the coaugmented graded cocommutative coalgebra $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ on Y can then be constructed as the tensor product

$$\mathcal{S}^c[Y] = \mathcal{S}^c[Y_{\text{ev}}] \otimes \mathcal{S}^c[Y_{\text{odd}}]$$

where $\mathcal{S}^c[Y_{\text{odd}}]$ is the coalgebra which underlies the ordinary exterior Hopf algebra on Y_{odd} . Thus, to sum up, *given a chain complex Y which, as a graded R -module, is projective, the coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ on Y exists.*

Likewise, given a general chain complex Y , under suitable circumstances, the diagonal map $Y \rightarrow Y \oplus Y \cong Y \times Y$ of Y induces a diagonal map for the graded symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S}[Y]$ on Y turning $\mathcal{S}[Y]$ into a differential graded Hopf algebra and, whenever $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ exists, the identity morphism of Y induces a morphism $\mathcal{S}[Y] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ of differential graded Hopf algebras. Over a field of characteristic zero, this morphism is an isomorphism (since, on the homogeneous degree j constituents ($j \geq 1$), division by the factorial $j!$ is possible) and, in the literature, over a field of characteristic zero, the coalgebra underlying the graded symmetric Hopf algebra $\mathcal{S}[Y]$ is usually taken as a model for the coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra on Y . More generally, suppose that the ground ring R contains the rational numbers as a subring. Then the coalgebra which underlies $\mathcal{S}[Y]$ may be taken as a model for $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$, cf. the discussion in Appendix B of [22]. Indeed, the map

$$\mathcal{S}[Y] \longrightarrow \text{T}^c[Y], \quad x_1 \dots x_n \longmapsto \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x_{\sigma 1} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma n}, \quad x_j \in Y, \quad n > 0,$$

induces an explicit isomorphism of $\mathcal{S}[Y]$ onto $\mathcal{S}^c[Y] \subseteq \text{T}^c[Y]$. However, over the integers, given a free graded abelian group Y concentrated in even degrees, the resulting morphism $\mathcal{S}[Y] \rightarrow \Gamma[Y]$ of graded Hopf algebras is *not* an isomorphism. Thus the above construction of the coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra $\mathcal{S}^c[Y]$ on Y is more general.

As *chain complexes*, not just as graded objects, the Hom-complexes $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ and $\text{Hom}(F_n \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ ($n \geq 0$) manifestly decompose as direct products

$$\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_j^c, \mathfrak{g}), \quad \text{Hom}(F_n \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \cong \prod_{j=0}^n \text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_j^c, \mathfrak{g}), \quad (n \geq 0),$$

and restriction mappings induce a sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F_{n+1} \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F_n \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F_1 \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \quad (3.2)$$

of surjective morphisms of differential graded Lie algebras. Furthermore, by construction, for each $n \geq 0$, the canonical injection of $F_n \mathcal{S}^c$ into $\mathcal{S}^c$ is a morphism of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras and hence induces a projection $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F_n \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ of differential graded Lie algebras, and these projections assemble to an isomorphism from $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ onto the projective limit $\varprojlim \text{Hom}(F_n \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ of (3.2) in such a way that, in each degree, the limit is attained at a finite stage.

4 The crucial step

For ease of exposition, we introduce the notation $\mathcal{D}^0 = \mathcal{D}_0 = 0$. For $a \geq 1$, let

$$\tau_a = \tau^1 + \tau^2 + \dots + \tau^a, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\mathcal{D}_a = \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^2 + \dots + \mathcal{D}^a, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\Theta_{a+1} = -D\tau_a - \tau_a \mathcal{D}_{a-1} + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_a, \tau_a] = -d\tau_a - \tau_a(d^0 + \mathcal{D}_{a-1}) + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_a, \tau_a]: \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}; \quad (4.3)$$

$$\vartheta_{a+1} = \Theta_{a+1}|_{\mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c}: \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}. \quad (4.4)$$

The crucial step for the proof of the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma, in particular for the statement given as Complement I above, is provided by the following.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \geq 1$.*

$$\pi\tau^{a+1} = 0; \quad (4.5)$$

$$\vartheta_{a+1} = -\tau^2 \mathcal{D}^{a-1} - \dots - \tau^a \mathcal{D}^1 + \frac{1}{2}([\tau^1, \tau^a] + \dots + [\tau^a, \tau^1]): \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}; \quad (4.6)$$

$$h\vartheta_{a+1} = \frac{1}{2}h([\tau^1, \tau^a] + \dots + [\tau^a, \tau^1]) = \tau^{a+1}: \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}; \quad (4.7)$$

$$\pi\vartheta_{a+1} = \frac{1}{2}\pi([\tau^1, \tau^a] + \dots + [\tau^a, \tau^1]) = \tau_M \mathcal{D}^a: \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow M; \quad (4.8)$$

$$\Theta_{a+1}: \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \text{ is zero on } F_a \mathcal{S}^c, \text{ i. e. goes to zero in } \text{Hom}(F_a \mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}); \quad (4.9)$$

$$D\vartheta_{a+1} = \tau_1(\mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^{a-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{a-1} \mathcal{D}^1): \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}; \quad (4.10)$$

$$D\tau^{a+1} = \vartheta_{a+1} - \tau^1 \mathcal{D}^a: \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}; \quad (4.11)$$

$$0 = d^0 \mathcal{D}^a + \mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^{a-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{a-1} \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^a d^0: \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^c. \quad (4.12)$$

For clarity we note that, for $a = 1$, the formula (4.6) signifies

$$\vartheta_2 = \frac{1}{2}[\tau^1, \tau^1], \quad (4.13)$$

and (4.10) signifies that ϑ_2 is a cycle, i. e. $D\vartheta_2 = 0$.

Let $b \geq 1$. The property (4.12) implies that, on the differential graded coalgebra $F_{b+1} \mathcal{S}^c$, the operator $\mathcal{D}_{b-1}$ is a coalgebra perturbation of the differential d^0 and hence $d^0 + \mathcal{D}_{b-1}$ is a coalgebra differential and thence turns the coaugmented graded cocommutative coalgebra $F_{b+1} \mathcal{S}^c$ into a coaugmented differential graded cocommutative coalgebra which, according to the convention introduced above, we write as $F_{b+1} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{b-1}}^c$. Furthermore, property (4.9) implies that the restriction of τ_b to $F_b \mathcal{S}^c$ is a Lie algebra twisting cochain $F_b \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{D}_{b-1}}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ whence, as b tends to infinity, τ_b tends to a Lie algebra twisting cochain, that is, τ is a Lie algebra twisting cochain. Indeed, in a given degree, the statements of Lemma 4.1 come down to corresponding statements in a suitable finite stage constituent of the sequence (3.2).

Proof. The property (4.5) is an immediate consequence of the annihilation properties (2.5). Next, let $a \geq 1$. For degree reasons, the restriction of

$$\Theta_{a+1} = -D\tau_a - \tau_a \mathcal{D}_{a-1} + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_a, \tau_a] = -d\tau_a - \tau_a(d^0 + \mathcal{D}_{a-1}) + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_a, \tau_a]: \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

to $\mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c$ comes down to

$$-\tau^2 \mathcal{D}^{a-1} - \dots - \tau^a \mathcal{D}^1 + \frac{1}{2}([\tau^1, \tau^a] + \dots + [\tau^a, \tau^1]): \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

whence (4.6), being interpreted as (4.13) for $a = 1$. The identity (4.6), combined with the annihilation properties (2.5), immediately implies (4.7) and (4.8), in view of the definitions (2.17) and (2.19) of the terms τ^{j+1} and $\mathcal{D}^j$, respectively, for $j \geq 1$.

Furthermore, the property (4.11) is a formal consequence of the definitions (2.19) and (4.4), combined with (4.10) and the annihilation properties (2.5). Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} D\tau^{a+1} &= D(h\vartheta_{a+1}) = (Dh)\vartheta_{a+1} - hD\vartheta_{a+1} \\ &= \vartheta_{a+1} - \nabla\pi\vartheta_{a+1} - h\tau_1(\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{a-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{a-1}\mathcal{D}^1) \\ &= \vartheta_{a+1} - \nabla\tau_M\mathcal{D}^a \\ &= \vartheta_{a+1} - \tau_1\mathcal{D}^a: \mathcal{S}_{a+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \end{aligned}$$

whence (4.11).

By induction on a , we now establish the remaining assertions (4.9), (4.10), and (4.12). To begin with, let $a = 1$. Since τ_1 is a cycle in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ and since $[\tau_1, \tau_1]$ vanishes on $F_1\mathcal{S}^c$,

$$\Theta_2 = -d\tau_1 - \tau_1 d^0 + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1]: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

vanishes on $F_1\mathcal{S}^c$, whence (4.9) holds for $a = 1$. Furthermore, since Θ_2 is a cycle, so is ϑ_2 whence (4.10) is satisfied for $a = 1$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} D\tau^2 &= Dh\vartheta_2 = \vartheta_2 - \nabla\pi\vartheta_2 = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] - \nabla\pi\vartheta_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] - \nabla\tau_M\mathcal{D}^1 = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] - \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1 \end{aligned}$$

whence (4.11) for $a = 1$. Finally, the identity (4.12) for $a = 1$ reads

$$d^0\mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^1d^0 = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

The identity (4.14), in turn, is a consequence of the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ being compatible with the differential d on $\mathfrak{g}$ since this compatibility entails that $[\tau_1, \tau_1]$ is a cycle in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$. Indeed, since

$$d^0\mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^1d^0 = [d^0, \mathcal{D}^1]$$

is a coderivation on $\mathcal{S}^c$, the bracket being the commutator bracket in the *graded Lie algebra of coderivations* of $\mathcal{S}^c$, it suffices to show that

$$\tau_M(d^0\mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^1d^0) = \tau_M[d^0, \mathcal{D}^1]$$

vanishes. However, since $\tau_M\mathcal{D}^1 = \frac{1}{2}\pi[\tau_1, \tau_1]$, cf. (2.19),

$$\tau_M(d^0\mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^1d^0) = -d\tau_M\mathcal{D}^1 + \tau_M\mathcal{D}^1d^0 = -\frac{1}{2}D(\pi[\tau_1, \tau_1]),$$

and $D(\pi[\tau_1, \tau_1])$ vanishes since $[\tau_1, \tau_1]$ is a cycle in $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$ and since π is a chain map. Consequently the identity (4.12) holds for $a = 1$. Thus the induction starts.

Even though this is not strictly necessary we now explain the case $a = 2$. This case is particularly instructive. Now

$$\begin{aligned} d\tau_2 + \tau_2(d^0 + \mathcal{D}^1) &= d\tau^1 + d\tau^2 + \tau^1d^0 + \tau^1\mathcal{D}^1 + \tau^2d^0 + \tau^2\mathcal{D}^1 \\ &= D\tau_1 + D\tau^2 + \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1 + \tau^2\mathcal{D}^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] - \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1 + \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1 + \tau^2\mathcal{D}^1 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] + \tau^2\mathcal{D}^1 \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\Theta_3 = -d\tau_2 - \tau_2(d^0 + \mathcal{D}^1) + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_2, \tau_2] = -\tau^2\mathcal{D}^1 + [\tau^1, \tau^2] + \frac{1}{2}[\tau^2, \tau^2]$$

which clearly vanishes on $F_2\mathcal{S}^c$, whence (4.9) holds for $a = 2$. Furthermore, it is manifest that the restriction ϑ_3 of Θ_3 to $\mathcal{S}_3^c$ takes the form

$$\vartheta_3 = [\tau^1, \tau^2] - \tau^2\mathcal{D}^1: \mathcal{S}_3^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}, \quad (4.15)$$

which amounts to (4.6) for the special case $a = 2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D\vartheta_3 &= -[\tau^1, D\tau^2] - (D\tau^2)\mathcal{D}^1 \\ &= -[\tau^1, -\tau^1\mathcal{D}^1 + \frac{1}{2}[\tau^1, \tau^1]] + \tau^1\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1 - \frac{1}{2}[\tau^1, \tau^1]\mathcal{D}^1 \\ &= [\tau^1, \tau^1\mathcal{D}^1] + \tau^1\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1 - [\tau^1, \tau^1\mathcal{D}^1] \\ &= \tau^1\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1 \end{aligned}$$

whence (4.10) at stage $a = 2$. Since

$$D\tau^2 = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1] - \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1$$

and since $[\tau_1, [\tau_1, \tau_1]] = 0$,

$$D[\tau_1, \tau^2] = [\tau_1, -D\tau^2] = [\tau_1, \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1 - \frac{1}{2}[\tau_1, \tau_1]] = [\tau_1, \tau_1\mathcal{D}^1].$$

Thus, in view of (2.19), viz.

$$\pi\tau_1\mathcal{D}^1 = \frac{1}{2}\pi[\tau_1, \tau_1],$$

we find

$$D(\pi[\tau_1, \tau^2]) = \pi\tau_1\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1, \quad (4.16)$$

whence

$$D(\pi[\tau_1, \tau^2]) = \tau_M\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1. \quad (4.17)$$

Since, in view of (4.15) or (4.6),

$$\pi\vartheta_3 = \pi[\tau_1, \tau^2]: \mathcal{S}_3^c[sM] \longrightarrow M,$$

$\mathcal{D}^2: \mathcal{S}^c \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c$ is the coderivation which is determined by the requirement that the identity

$$\pi\vartheta_3 = \tau_M\mathcal{D}^2: \mathcal{S}_3^c[sM] \longrightarrow M \quad (4.18)$$

be satisfied. Then

$$\tau_M(d^0\mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^2d^0) = \tau_M(D\mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1) = 0. \quad (4.19)$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_M D\mathcal{D}^2 &= -D(\tau_M\mathcal{D}^2) \\ &= -D(\pi\vartheta_3) = -D(\pi[\tau_1, \tau^2]) \\ &= -\tau_M\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$d^0 \mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^2 d^0 = 0 \quad (4.20)$$

since $d^0 \mathcal{D}^2 + \mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^2 d^0$ is a coderivation of $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$. This establishes the identity (4.12) for $a = 2$.

We pause for the moment; suppose that we are in the special situation where the original contraction (2.6) is the trivial one of the kind (2.20) and identify M with the chain complex which underlies $\mathfrak{g}$, endowed with the zero bracket. Then $\mathcal{S}^c$ amounts to the CCE-coalgebra for M (endowed with the zero bracket), the operator $\mathcal{D}^1$ is precisely the ordinary CCE-operator relative to the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$, the twisting cochain τ_1 is the CCE-twisting cochain relative to the Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$, the term τ^2 is zero (since h is zero), and the construction we are in the process of explaining stops at the present stage. Indeed, τ_1 then coincides with τ_2 and $\Theta_3 = 0$. Moreover, the identity

$$\mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 = 0 \quad (4.21)$$

is then equivalent to the bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying the graded Jacobi identity.

Likewise, in the special case where the differential on M is zero so that M amounts to the homology $H(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$, the identity (4.20) comes down to

$$\mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^1 = 0. \quad (4.22)$$

This identity, in turn, is then equivalent to the fact that the induced graded bracket on $H(\mathfrak{g})$ satisfies the graded Jacobi identity.

We now return to the case of a general contraction (2.6). Let $b > 2$ and suppose, by induction that, at stage a , $2 \leq a < b$, (4.9) – (4.12) have been established. Our aim is to show that (4.9) – (4.12) hold at stage b . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{b+1} &= -D\tau_b - \tau_b \mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_b, \tau_b] : \mathcal{S}^c[sM] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g} \\ &= -D\tau_{b-1} - D\tau^b - (\tau_{b-1} + \tau^b)(\mathcal{D}_{b-2} + \mathcal{D}^{b-1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}([\tau_{b-1}, \tau_{b-1}] + [\tau^b, \tau_{b-1}] + [\tau_{b-1}, \tau^b] + [\tau^b, \tau^b]) \\ &= \Theta_b - D\tau^b - \tau_{b-1} \mathcal{D}^{b-1} - \tau^b \mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \frac{1}{2}([\tau^b, \tau_{b-1}] + [\tau_{b-1}, \tau^b] + [\tau^b, \tau^b]). \end{aligned}$$

By the inductive hypothesis (4.9) at stage $b-1$, Θ_b vanishes on $F_{b-1}\mathcal{S}^c$ whence Θ_{b+1} vanishes on $F_{b-1}\mathcal{S}^c$ as well since the remaining terms obviously vanish on $F_{b-1}\mathcal{S}^c$. Moreover,

$$\Theta_{b+1}|_{\mathcal{S}_b^c} = \vartheta_b - D\tau^b - \tau_{b-1} \mathcal{D}^{b-1} - \tau^b \mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \frac{1}{2}([\tau^b, \tau_{b-1}] + [\tau_{b-1}, \tau^b] + [\tau^b, \tau^b]).$$

In view of the inductive hypothesis (4.11),

$$D\tau^b = \vartheta_b - \tau^1 \mathcal{D}^{b-1}$$

and, for degree reasons,

$$\tau^1 \mathcal{D}^{b-1} = \tau_{b-1} \mathcal{D}^{b-1}$$

whence

$$\Theta_{b+1}|_{\mathcal{S}_b^c} = -\tau^b \mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \frac{1}{2}([\tau^b, \tau_{b-1}] + [\tau_{b-1}, \tau^b] + [\tau^b, \tau^b])$$

which, for degree reasons, is manifestly zero. Consequently Θ_{b+1} vanishes on $F_b\mathcal{S}^c$ whence (4.9) at stage b .

Next we establish the identity (4.10) at stage b . Recall that, by construction, cf. (4.3),

$$\Theta_{b+1} = -D\tau_b - \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \frac{1}{2}[\tau_b, \tau_b]$$

whence

$$D\Theta_{b+1} = -D(\tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1}) + \frac{1}{2}D[\tau_b, \tau_b] = -(D\tau_b)\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \tau_b D\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + [D\tau_b, \tau_b].$$

However, $b \geq 2$ whence $\mathcal{D}_{b-1}$ lowers filtration, i. e. maps $F_{b+1}\mathcal{S}^c$ to $F_b\mathcal{S}^c$. Since (4.9) has already been established at stage b , restricted to $F_{b+1}\mathcal{S}^c$,

$$0 = -\Theta_{b+1}\mathcal{D}_{b-1} = D\tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1}\mathcal{D}_{b-1} - \frac{1}{2}[\tau_b, \tau_b]\mathcal{D}_{b-1}$$

whence

$$(D\tau_b)\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1}\mathcal{D}_{b-1} = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_b, \tau_b]\mathcal{D}_{b-1} = [\tau_b, \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1}] \in \text{Hom}(F_{b+1}\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} D\vartheta_{b+1} &= \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1}\mathcal{D}_{b-1} - [\tau_b, \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1}] + \tau_b D\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + [D\tau_b, \tau_b] \in \text{Hom}(F_{b+1}\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}) \\ &= \tau_b(\mathcal{D}_{b-1}\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + D\mathcal{D}_{b-1}) - [\tau_b, \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + D\tau_b]. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, in view of (4.9),

$$\tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + D\tau_b = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_b, \tau_b] \in \text{Hom}(F_b\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g}),$$

whence

$$[\tau_b, \tau_b\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + D\tau_b] = \frac{1}{2}[\tau_b, [\tau_b, \tau_b]]$$

which, each homogeneous constituent of τ_b being odd, is zero, in view of the graded Jacobi identity in $\text{Hom}(F_b\mathcal{S}^c, \mathfrak{g})$. Moreover, by induction, in view of (4.12), for $1 \leq a < b$,

$$\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{a-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{a-1}\mathcal{D}^1 + D\mathcal{D}^a = 0$$

whence

$$\mathcal{D}_{b-1}\mathcal{D}_{b-1} + D\mathcal{D}_{b-1} = \mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1}\mathcal{D}^1.$$

Consequently, on $\mathcal{S}_{b+1}^c$,

$$D\vartheta_{b+1} = \tau_b(\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1}\mathcal{D}^1): \mathcal{S}_{b+1}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

and, for degree reasons,

$$\tau_b(\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1}\mathcal{D}^1) = \tau_1(\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1}\mathcal{D}^1): \mathcal{S}_{b+1}^c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

whence

$$D\vartheta_{b+1} = \tau_1(\mathcal{D}^1\mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1}\mathcal{D}^1).$$

This establishes the identity (4.10) at stage b .

Alternatively, in view of what has already been proved, by virtue of (4.6),

$$\vartheta_{b+1} = -\tau^2 \mathcal{D}^{b-1} - \dots - \tau^b \mathcal{D}^1 + \frac{1}{2} ([\tau^1, \tau^b] + \dots + [\tau^b, \tau^1]) : \mathcal{S}_{b+1}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g},$$

whence, since $D\tau^1 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} D\vartheta_{b+1} &= -(D\tau^2)\mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \tau^2 D\mathcal{D}^{b-1} \pm \dots - (D\tau^{b-1})\mathcal{D}^2 \\ &\quad + \tau^{b-1} D\mathcal{D}^2 - D\tau^b \mathcal{D}^1 \\ &\quad + [D\tau^2, \tau^{b-1}] + \dots + [D\tau^b, \tau^1]. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Thus, using the inductive hypotheses, we can establish (4.10) at stage b by evaluating the terms on the right-hand side of (4.23).

Finally, to settle the identity (4.12) at stage b , we note first that, since

$$d^0 \mathcal{D}^b + \mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1} \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^b d^0 = \mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1} \mathcal{D}^1 + D\mathcal{D}^b$$

is a coderivation of $\mathcal{S}^c$, it suffices to prove that

$$\tau_M (\mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1} \mathcal{D}^1 + D\mathcal{D}^b) = 0.$$

However, we have already observed that the identity (4.11) at stage b is a formal consequence of (4.10) and, since the latter has already been established, (4.11) is now available at stage b , viz.

$$D\tau^{b+1} = \vartheta_{b+1} - \tau^1 \mathcal{D}^b : \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$$

Hence

$$0 = D\vartheta_{b+1} - D(\tau^1 \mathcal{D}^b) = D\vartheta_{b+1} + \tau^1 D\mathcal{D}^b.$$

Substituting the right-hand side of (4.10) at stage b for $D\vartheta_{b+1}$, we obtain the identity

$$0 = d^0 \mathcal{D}^b + \mathcal{D}^1 \mathcal{D}^{b-1} + \dots + \mathcal{D}^{b-1} \mathcal{D}^1 + \mathcal{D}^b d^0 : \mathcal{S}^c \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^c,$$

that is, the identity (4.12) at stage b . This completes the inductive step. $\square$

5 The proof of the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma

Lemma 4.1 entails that the operator $\mathcal{D}$ given by (2.18) is a coalgebra perturbation and that the morphism τ given by (2.17) is a Lie algebra twisting cochain. We will now establish Complement II of the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma.

The contraction (2.21) may be obtained in the following way: Any contraction of chain complexes of the kind (2.2) induces a filtered contraction

$$\left(\mathrm{T}^c[M] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{T}^c[\nabla]} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathrm{T}^c[\pi]} \end{array} \mathrm{T}^c[N], \mathrm{T}^c[h] \right) \tag{5.1}$$

of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras. A version thereof is spelled out as a *contraction of bar constructions* already in Theorem 12.1 of [4]; the filtered contraction (5.1)

may be found in [7] (2.2) and [18] (2.2.0)_{*} (the dual filtered contraction of augmented differential graded algebras being spelled out explicitly in [18] as (2.2.0)^{*}). The differential graded symmetric coalgebras $\mathcal{S}^c[M]$ and $\mathcal{S}^c[N]$ being differential graded subcoalgebras of $T^c[M]$ and $T^c[N]$, respectively, the morphisms $T^c[\nabla]$ and $T^c[\pi]$ pass to corresponding morphisms $\mathcal{S}^c[\nabla]$ and $\mathcal{S}^c[\pi]$ respectively, and $\mathcal{S}^c[h]$ arises from $T^c[h]$ by *symmetrization*, so that

$$\left(\mathcal{S}^c[M] \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}^c[\nabla]} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{S}^c[\pi]} \end{array} \mathcal{S}^c[N], \mathcal{S}^c[h] \right) \quad (5.2)$$

constitutes a filtered contraction of coaugmented differential graded coalgebras. Alternatively, since $\mathcal{S}^c$ is a functor, application of this functor to (2.2) yields (5.2). Here $\mathcal{S}^c[\nabla]$ and $\mathcal{S}^c[\pi]$ are morphisms of differential graded coalgebras but, beware, even though $T^c[h]$ is compatible with the coalgebra structure in the sense that it is a homotopy of morphisms of differential graded coalgebras, $\mathcal{S}^c[h]$ no longer has such a compatibility property in a naive fashion. Indeed, for differential graded cocommutative coalgebras, the notion of homotopy is a subtle concept, cf. [23]. To sum up, application of the functor $\mathcal{S}^c$ to the induced contraction

$$(sM \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s\nabla} \\ \xleftarrow{s\pi} \end{array} s\mathfrak{g}, sh)$$

which arises from (2.6) by suspension yields the contraction (2.21).

To establish Complement II of the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma, we will view the contraction (5.2) merely as one of filtered chain complexes, that is, we forget about the coalgebra structures. As before, we denote by ∂ the coalgebra perturbation on $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$ which corresponds to the graded Lie bracket on $\mathfrak{g}$, so that the differential on the CCE-coalgebra $\mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}]$ (having $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$ as its underlying coaugmented graded coalgebra) is given by $d + \partial$. For intelligibility, we recall the following.

Lemma 5.1 (Ordinary perturbation Lemma). *Let*

$$(M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi} \end{array} N, h)$$

be a filtered contraction, let ∂ be a perturbation of the differential on N , and let

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \pi \partial (-h\partial)^n \nabla = \sum_{n \geq 0} \pi (-\partial h)^n \partial \nabla \\ \nabla_{\partial} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (-h\partial)^n \nabla \\ \pi_{\partial} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \pi (-\partial h)^n \\ h_{\partial} &= - \sum_{n \geq 0} (-h\partial)^n h = - \sum_{n \geq 0} h (-\partial h)^n. \end{aligned}$$

If the filtrations on M and N are complete, these infinite series converge, δ is a perturbation of the differential on M and, when N_{∂} and M_{δ} refer to the new chain complexes,

$$\left(M_{\delta} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\nabla_{\partial}} \\ \xleftarrow{\pi_{\partial}} \end{array} N_{\partial}, h_{\partial} \right) \quad (5.3)$$

constitute a new filtered contraction that is natural in terms of the given data.

Proof. See [1] or [5]. □

Application of the ordinary perturbation Lemma to the contraction (5.2) and the perturbation ∂ of the differential d on $\mathcal{S}^c[s\mathfrak{g}]$ yields the perturbation δ of the differential d^0 on $\mathcal{S}^c[sM]$ and the contraction (2.22) where the notation $\tilde{\nabla}$, $\tilde{\Pi}$, H in (2.22) corresponds to, respectively, ∇_∂ , π_∂ , h_∂ in (5.3). By construction, the composite

$$\Phi: \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{D}^c[sM] \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Pi}} \mathcal{S}_\delta^c[sM]$$

introduced as (2.23) above is a morphism of chain complexes and, modulo the filtrations, as a morphism of the underlying graded R -modules, this composite is the identity. More precisely, Φ can be written as an infinite series

$$\Phi = \text{Id} + \Phi^1 + \dots + \Phi^j + \dots \quad (5.4)$$

such that, for $j \geq 1$, Φ^j lowers the coaugmentation filtrations by j . Furthermore, the convergence of the series (5.4) is naive, that is, in each degree, the limit is achieved after finitely many steps. Consequently Φ is an isomorphism of chain complexes. The inverse Ψ of Φ can be obtained as the infinite series

$$\Psi = \text{Id} + \Psi^1 + \dots + \Psi^j + \dots \quad (5.5)$$

determined by the requirement $\Phi\Psi = \text{Id}$ or, equivalently, Ψ is given by the recursive description

$$\Psi^j + \Phi^1\Psi^{j-1} + \dots + \Phi^{j-1}\Psi^1 + \Phi^j = 0, \quad j \geq 1, \quad (5.6)$$

with the convention $\Phi^0 = \text{Id}$ and $\Psi^0 = \text{Id}$. Recall from (2.24) and (2.25) that, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &= \Psi\tilde{\Pi}: \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{D}^c[sM], \\ H &= \tilde{H} - \tilde{H}\tau\Pi: \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}[\mathfrak{g}]. \end{aligned}$$

By construction,

$$\Pi\tau = \text{Id}: \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{D}^c[sM] \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{D}^c[sM]$$

and, since (2.22) is a contraction of chain complexes,

$$\begin{aligned} DH &= D(\tilde{H} - \tilde{H}\tau\Pi) = (\text{Id} - \tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\Pi})(\text{Id} - \tau\Pi) \\ &= \text{Id} - \tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\Pi} - \tau\Pi + \tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\Pi}\tau\Pi \\ &= \text{Id} - \tilde{\nabla}\Phi\Pi - \tau\Pi + \tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\Pi}\tau\Pi = \text{Id} - \tau\Pi \end{aligned}$$

since $\Phi = \tilde{\Pi}\tau$ and $\Phi\Pi = \tilde{\Pi}$. Consequently

$$(d + \partial)H + H(d + \partial) = \text{Id} - \tau\Pi,$$

that is, H is a chain homotopy between Id and $\tau\Pi$. Moreover, since (2.22) is a contraction of chain complexes, the side conditions (2.5) hold, that is

$$\Pi H = 0, \quad H\tau = 0, \quad HH = 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Consequently τ , Π and H constitute a contraction of chain complexes of the kind (2.12) as asserted and this contraction is obviously natural in terms of the data. This establishes Complement II and thus completes the proof of the Lie algebra perturbation Lemma.

References

- [1] R. Brown: The twisted Eilenberg–Zilber theorem. *Celebrazioni Archimedee del Secolo XX, Simposio di topologia*, pp. 33–37 (1964).
- [2] H. Cartan: Algèbres d’Eilenberg–Mac Lane et homotopie. Exposés 2–11. Séminaire H. Cartan 1954/55. École Normale Supérieure, Paris, 1956.
- [3] A. Dold: Zur Homotopietheorie der Kettenkomplexe. *Math. Ann.* **140** (1960), 278–298.
- [4] S. Eilenberg and S. Mac Lane: On the groups $H(\pi, n)$. I. *Ann. of Math.* **58** (1953), 55–106. II. Methods of computation. *Ann. of Math.* **60** (1954), 49–139.
- [5] V.K.A.M. Gugenheim: On the chain complex of a fibration. *Illinois J. of Math.* **16** (1972), 398–414.
- [6] V.K.A.M. Gugenheim: On a perturbation theory for the homology of the loop space. *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra* **25** (1982), 197–205.
- [7] V.K.A.M. Gugenheim, L. Lambe, and J. D. Stasheff: Perturbation theory in differential homological algebra. II. *Illinois J. of Math.* **35** (1991), 357–373.
- [8] J. Huebschmann: The homotopy type of $F\Psi^q$. The complex and symplectic cases. In: *Applications of Algebraic K-Theory to Algebraic Geometry and Number Theory, Part II, Proc. of a conf. at Boulder, Colorado, June 12 – 18, 1983*. *Cont. Math.* **55** (1986), 487–518.
- [9] J. Huebschmann: Perturbation theory and free resolutions for nilpotent groups of class 2. *J. of Algebra* **126** (1989), 348–399.
- [10] J. Huebschmann: Cohomology of nilpotent groups of class 2. *J. of Algebra* **126** (1989), 400–450.
- [11] J. Huebschmann: The mod p cohomology rings of metacyclic groups. *J. of Pure and Applied Algebra* **60** (1989), 53–105.
- [12] J. Huebschmann: Cohomology of metacyclic groups. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **328** (1991), 1–72.
- [13] J. Huebschmann: Minimal free multi models for chain algebras. *Georgian Math. J.* **11** (2004), 733–752, [math.AT/0405172](#).
- [14] J. Huebschmann: Homological perturbations, equivariant cohomology, and Koszul duality, [math.AT/0401160](#).
- [15] J. Huebschmann: Relative homological algebra, homological perturbations, equivariant de Rham theory, and Koszul duality, [math.AT/0401161](#).
- [16] J. Huebschmann: The sh-Lie algebra perturbation Lemma , [arXiv:0710.2070](#).

- [17] J. Huebschmann: Origins and breadth of the theory of higher homotopies, [arXiv:0710.2645](#).
- [18] J. Huebschmann and T. Kadeishvili: Small models for chain algebras. *Math. Z.* **207** (1991), 245–280.
- [19] J. Huebschmann and J. D. Stasheff: Formal solution of the master equation via HPT and deformation theory. *Forum Math.* **14** (2002), 847–868.
- [20] M. Kontsevich: Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds, [math.QA/9709040](#).
- [21] J. C. Moore: Differential homological algebra. *Actes, Congrès intern. math. Nice* (1970), Gauthiers-Villars, Paris (1971), 335–339.
- [22] D. Quillen: Rational homotopy theory. *Ann. of Math.* **90** (1969), 205–295.
- [23] M. Schlessinger and J. D. Stasheff: Deformation theory and rational homotopy type. *Pub. Math. Sci. IHES*. To appear; new version July 13, 1998.
- [24] W. Shih: Homologie des espaces fibrés. *Pub. Math. Sci. IHES* **13** (1962).